

Date: Thu, 3 Jun 93 04:30:12 PDT  
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>  
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu  
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu  
Precedence: Bulk  
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #172  
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest                      Thu, 3 Jun 93                      Volume 93 : Issue 172

Today's Topics:

                Anti-ham legislation in Minnesota  
                carrying a copy of your license (WAS: exit)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>  
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>  
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available  
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text  
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official  
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

-----  
Date: 2 Jun 93 11:20:17 EDT  
From: usc!cs.utexas.edu!uwm.edu!rpi!news.crd.ge.com!sunblossom!ge-dab!  
cho006.cho.ge.com!wright\_t@network.UCSD.EDU  
Subject: Anti-ham legislation in Minnesota  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

> I don't know, but living in Virginia, you may be aware how police  
> confiscation of radio equipment generally works, since possession  
> of a radar receiver is a crime there.

>  
> They pull you over, remove the device from your vehicle, and fling  
> it as hard as possible into their trunk in an attempt to damage it.  
> If the device is returned, it is always quite broken.  
> By accident, of course, and they are always so apologetic;  
> there's nothing you can do about it.

>  
Virginia State Troopers no longer confiscate radar detectors. They \_do\_  
plug it in to see if it works. If it does, they write you a 50 dollah  
ticket and tell you to take the device home and put it in a drawer and  
leave it there.

Tim Wright  
GE Fanuc Automation  
wright\_t@cho006.cho.ge.com

-----  
Date: Thu, 3 Jun 1993 01:29:55 GMT  
From: valinor.mythical.com!n5ial!jim@uunet.uu.net  
Subject: carrying a copy of your license (WAS: exit)  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

FYI, to the original poster...your news software appears to be munching your subject line and replacing it with ``exit'' --- you might want to check it out. Sorry, back to the real reason for this post. :-)

In article <1993Jun1.114618.1@skyler.mavd.honeywell.com>  
estey@skyler.mavd.honeywell.com writes:

[Regarding exemption for hams from MN scanner law]  
>This means you MUST carry a copy of your license if you are using - or  
>transporting - a device capable of receiving law enforcement transmissions.

According to what I've always been told, you're required to do this anyways. Everyone I've ever talked to has told me the same story...if you operate anywhere other than your primary station location (where the license is prominently displayed), you're required to have a copy on your person if you operate any amateur radio gear.

The FCC regs may or may not actually say this (I've never looked it up), but with the number of different people who have told me this, I'd say it's at least in the ``good idea'' department, if not required by law.

Later....  
--jim

--  
#include <std\_disclaimer.h> 73 DE N5IAL (/4)  
-----  
INTERNET: jim@n5ial.mythical.com | j.graham@ieee.org ICBM: 30.23N 86.32W  
AMATEUR RADIO: (packet station temporarily offline) AMTOR SELCAL: NIAL  
-----  
E-mail me for information about KAMterm (host mode for Kantronics TNCs).  
-----

Date: Wed, 2 Jun 1993 23:17:14 GMT  
From: telesoft!garym@uunet.uu.net  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <C7xEB2.I7G@olwejo.UUCP>, <nagleC7zAqH.AHw@netcom.com>,  
<1993Jun2.162329.23598@ke4zv.uucp>  
Subject : Re: Warning! FT5200 DANGER!

In <1993Jun2.162329.23598@ke4zv.uucp> gary@ke4zv.uucp (Gary Coffman) writes:  
>In article <nagleC7zAqH.AHw@netcom.com> nagle@netcom.com (John Nagle) writes:  
>>root@olwejo.UUCP (Bob Kupiec) writes:  
>>>In <1993May31.235517.20113@w8hd.org>, kenh@w8hd.org writes:  
>>>>To anyone with a Yaesu FT5200 with the 'wireless' mike option:  
>>>>...any 49 MHz transmission in it's vicinity will be  
>>>>dutifully repeated through the radio and onto the air...  
>>  
>> I'm suprised they even market a "wireless mike" option like that.  
>>The unit is acting as a repeater, but it doesn't have any of the controls  
>>a repeater is required to have. No ID, no remote shutdown, etc.  
>>Should the FCC be asked to yank its type approval?

>Well it's not a repeater under law. It's just operating with a Part 15  
>wireless control link.

I agree it's not a repeater, the wireless mike is just device covered under  
part 15 being used appropriately. But it is appears that the amateur  
transmission done using the wireless mike as a source might be prohibited by  
97.113(d)(3), the relevent text says:

(3) No station shall retransmit programs or signals emanating from  
any type of radio station other than an amateur station, ...

The only question is whether a wireless microphone counts as a "radio  
station" and would thus be prohibited as a source for amateur transmissions.  
But I can't imagine that anyone would possible care about this use of a Part  
15 device. I hope the FCC (and us) have better things to do.  
--GaryM

(followups to r.r.a.policy)

--

Gary Morris KK6YB  
San Diego, CA USA

Internet: garym@alsys.com  
Phone: +1 619-457-2700 x128 (work)

-----  
Date: Wed, 02 Jun 93 12:08:05 GMT  
From: swrinde!gatech!udel!news.intercon.com!psinntp!laidbak!tellab5!balr!  
ttd.teradyne.com!news@network.UCSD.EDU  
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1993May28.234709.138765@locus.com>, <ywmB5B3w165w@amanda.jpunix.com>,

<1993May30.172821.156231@locus.com>s

Subject : Re: You could hear a pin drop in this group lately

dana@lando.la.locus.com (Dana H. Myers) writes:

> In any case, if anyone wants to engage in a useful, objective public  
> discussion regarding evolutionary enhancement to the amateur licensing  
> process, I'm ready. I'm not anxious to see more of the ranting that

I'd be interested in seeing a discussion regarding 'evolutionary enhancement'  
to amateur radio operators. First question: How can we get the 'ranters and  
ravers' to 'evolve' into rational human beings ? First opinion : If anyone  
thinks you have to be able to copy 13wpm to pass the General Code test, I've  
got some Florida land I'll make you a 'great' deal on.

-----

John Rice - K9IJ		"Did I say that ?" I must have, but It was
rice@ttd.teradyne.com		MY opinion only, no one else's...Especially
		Not my Employer's.... Licensed since 1959
(708)-438-5065 - (bbs )		Ex: K8YZR, KH6GHC, WB9CSP, W9MMB, WA1TXV

-----

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #172

\*\*\*\*\*